

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
٠	10/705,727	11/10/2003	Warren M. Farnworth	2269-5558F US	4992
	24247 TRASK BRITT		6	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 2550		0		KASENGE, CHARLES R	CHARLES R
	SALT LAKE C	10/705,727 11/10/2003 24247 7590 12/18/2006 TRASK BRITT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125		
_					
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVER	Y MODE
_	3 MO	NTHS	12/18/2006	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/705,727	FARNWORTH, WARREN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles R. Kasenge	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, by statute, cause the application to become ABAR	ATION. ly be timely filed 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 September 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 31-44</u> is/are allowed	I.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 23-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing(s)) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached 0	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority do	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of	•	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the Internationa					
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not re	ceivea.			
Attachment(s)	·	(570,440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/21/06.		ormal Patent Application			
- 	· 				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/21/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-44 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Michael et al. U.S. Patent 5,960,125.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 21 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michael et al. U.S. Patent 5,960,125. Regarding claim 21, Michael discloses a programmable material consolidation apparatus, comprising: a support element (Figs. 2 and 4, #15 and 42); a selective material consolidation system including a selectively moveable element to effect formation of an object on at least one of the support element and a substrate positioned on the support element (col. 8, lines 6-16); a machine vision system oriented to view the support element and an object under fabrication thereon, the machine vision system including: a locationally stationary camera positioned to avoid interference with the selectively moveable element of the selective material consolidation system (col. 8, lines 6-16); and at least one control element in communication with

Art Unit: 2125

the selective material consolidation system and the machine vision system (col. 9 and 10, lines 63-4).

Regarding claims 23-27, Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 21, wherein the locationally stationary camera comprises a charge-coupled device (col. 5, lines 55-58). Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 21, at least one fiducial mark associated with the support element for providing a reference point for the machine vision system (col. 11, lines 10-15). Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 21, further comprising: a magnification element associated with the locationally stationary camera to magnify an image viewed thereby (col. 6, lines 13-32). Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 25, wherein the magnification element optically magnifies the image (col. 6, lines 13-32). Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 25, wherein the magnification element digitally magnifies the image (col. 6, lines 13-32).

Regarding claims 28-30, Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 21, wherein the machine vision system further includes a rotational element associated with the locationally stationary camera to facilitate orientation of the locationally stationary camera to a selected location of a field of exposure of the selective material consolidation system (col. 5, lines 31-43). Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 21, wherein the at least one control element receives signals from the locationally stationary camera indicating locations of features on or over the support element. Michael discloses the programmable material consolidation apparatus of claim 29, wherein the at least one control element is configured to cause the selective material

Art Unit: 2125

consolidation system to effect fabrication of one or more objects at a precise location on at least one of the support element and a substrate thereon based on a location of at least one feature viewed by the machine vision system (col. 5, lines 32-58).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-20 and 31-44 are allowed.
- 5. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Kasenge whose telephone number is 571 272-3743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,727

Art Unit: 2125

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CK

December 11, 2006

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L-P.Pi